

Case 1:17-cv-09886-DLC Document 11 Filed 12/27/17 Page 1 of 2

NEW YORK

845 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022 (212) 759-4600 HOUSTON

2929 Allen Parkway Suite 200 Houston, TX 77019 (713) 522-4529 PUERTO RICO

654 Plaza, Suite 1001 654 Muñoz Rivera Ave San Juan, PR 00918 (787) 522-0200 wolfpopper.com

DIRECT DIAL: 212 451-9631 EMAIL: cstine@wolfpopper.com

December 27, 2017

Via ECF

The Honorable Denise L. Cote United States District Judge Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street, Room 1610 New York, NY 10007

Re: Rosenfeld v. Time Inc., Consol. C.A. No. 17-cv-9886-DLC

Pill v. Time Inc., et al., C.A. No. 17-cv-09971-DLC

Dear Judge Cote:

We represent plaintiff David Pill in the above-referenced consolidated action. We write in response to Your Honor's Order dated December 26, 2017, which, among other things, requested a letter be filed describing Mr. Pill, similar to the letter Your Honor ordered in the Scheduling Order, dated December 22, 2017, in the *Rosenfeld* action.

Mr. Pill is 53 years old and was born and raised in Brooklyn. His current address is 3552 Bedford Avenue, Brooklyn NY 11210. For approximately the last five years, Mr. Pill has been primarily an investor while winding down his diamond business, which he was in for approximately 10 years before that. Prior to being in the diamond business, Mr. Pill worked in the information technology industry. Mr. Pill has been a client of Wolf Popper for approximately six years and was introduced to the firm by his father, who had been a client of the firm for many years. There is no familial or other relationship between Mr. Pill and anyone at Wolf Popper.

As reflected in the certification form that was filed with the complaint in the *Pill* action, Mr. Pill acquired 8 shares of Time Inc. on June 9, 2014. He acquired these shares when Time Inc. was spun off from Time Warner and continues to hold them.

On November 27, 2017, Mr. Pill contacted me after reading the press release announcing the transaction that is the subject of this action. After the Schedule 14D-9 was issued, I had further discussions with Mr. Pill. He reviewed a draft of the *Pill* complaint and authorized its filing.

The Honorable Denise L. Cote December 27, 2017 Page 2

Like plaintiff's counsel in the *Rosenfeld* action, I believe that nothing in the PSLRA precludes the filing of a preliminary injunction motion prior to the completion of the PSLRA lead-plaintiff process. If necessary, Mr. Pill and Wolf Popper are prepared to litigate the action on an individual basis.

As requested by Your Honor, the following is a list of cases, other than this one, started by my firm in which Mr. Pill served or serves as a named plaintiff:

In re Icagen, Inc. Shareholder Litig., Consol. C.A. No. 6692-CS (Del. Ch. 2011)

In re Zale Corp. Stockholders Litig., Consol. C.A. No. 9388-VCP (Del. Ch. 2014)

Pill v. Penford Corp., Civ. A. No. 14-cv-02867 (D. Col. 2014)

In re Penford Corp. S'holders Litig., Civ. A. No. 14-2-29641 (Wash. Sup. Ct. 2014)

Pill v. Span-America Med. Sys., Inc., Civ. A. No. 17-cv-01375-MGL (D.S.C. 2017)

Pill v. Angie's List, Inc., Case No. 17-cv-02461-JMS-MJD (S.D. Ind. 2017)

Pill v. Tribune Media Co., Civ. A. No. 17-cv-00961-UNA (D. Del. 2017)

Pill v. Westar Energy, Inc., Civ. A. No. 17-cv-04086 (D. Kan. 2017)

Pill v. Steel Partners Holdings L.P., Index No. 657304/2017 (N.Y. Sup. Ct., N.Y. County 2017)

Respectfully submitted,

Carl L. Stine

cc: all counsel of record (via ECF)